REMARKS

The claims rejected by the examiner in the November 29, 2005 Office Action have been canceled by this amendment. Claims 1, 16 and 17, which the examiner objected to but deemed allowable, have been presented in this amendment either in independent form or as depending from an allowable claim.

New claims, 18 and 19 are directed to allowable subject matter, but do not include some specific structural recitations that are not believed to be germane to the allowable subject matter. The specific components mounted on the frame are not identified and some specific structural details of the frame member and the latch element have been excluded while the combination and operation of the device portions is unchanged. These new claims are also believed to be allowable over the art cited or otherwise known.

The prior art, cited but not applied, has been reviewed, but is not believed to present any issues or art more pertinent than the references that the examiner has applied to the claims originally pending in the application.

It is believed that the application, as now presented in amended form, is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

JESSICA R. BERENS, ET AL.

Robert R. Williams

By Robert Revellance

Reg. No. 48,395

Tel.: (507) 253-2761 Fax: (507) 253-2382

Docket No.: ROC920030223US1

Serial No.: 10/723,800

6